IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

In the Matter of the Arbitration Between)	
)	
LOUIS BARINAGA,)	
Claimant,)	Civil No. 05-1432-HU
Ciainiant,)	CIVII NO. 03-1432-110
and)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
MARVIN COX and UBS PAINEWEBBER, INC.,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

Kim T. Buckley ESLER STEPHENS & BUCKLEY 888 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 700 Portland, OR 97204-2021

Attorney for Claimant

Marvin Cox 13389 S.W. Ascension Drive Tigard, OR 97223

Respondent Pro Se

Case 3:05-cv-01432-HU Document 75 Filed 01/12/07 Page 2 of 2

JONES, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Dennis James Hubel filed Findings and Recommendation (#70) on

November 7, 2006, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a

magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a <u>de novo</u>

determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);

McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th

Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Respondent Marvin Cox has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo

review of Magistrate Judge Hubel's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Hubel's Findings and

Recommendation dated November 7, 2006, in its entirety. Respondent Cox's motion (#3) to

vacate the arbitration award is denied and this case is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 12th day of January, 2007.

/s/ Robert E. Jones

ROBERT E. JONES

United States District Judge